



UNITED ARCHITECTS OF THE PHILIPPINES
THE INTEGRATED AND ACCREDITED PROFESSIONAL ORGANIZATION OF ARCHITECTS

Committee on Young Architects

UAP YOUNG ARCHITECTS AWARD 2017

The Young Architects Award, now on its 2nd year is given to individuals who have shown commendable achievements, commitment and made significant contributions to the profession in an early stage of their architectural career.

Architect members of the United Architects of the Philippines who are in good standing and have been licensed to practice architecture for not more than 10 years are eligible to be nominated.

The categories for this award will include:

Category 1- Outstanding Young Architect in the Professional Practice

Category 1A- Residential

Category 1B-Commercial

Category 1C-Heritage/Conservation

Category 1D- Liturgical

Category 2- Outstanding Young Architect in the Academe

All UAP Chapters in regular status may nominate one individual for each category.

The following guidelines shall apply for the selection of the “Young Architects Award” to be conducted by the UAP Screening & Selection Committee:

A. General Criteria. The candidate must:

1. Be a citizen of the Republic of the Philippines.

2. Be duly registered with Professional Regulation Commission (PRC), with a valid and current professional identification card.
3. Have been in active practice for not more than 10 years upon securing of the Certificate of Professional Registration from PRC, prior to nomination.
4. Be of good moral character, an active and good standing member of a regular UAP Chapter prior to nomination.
5. Have at least one (1) actual project (completed or on-going) within the FY 2016-2017.
6. Not have been found guilty in an administrative case, by final and executory decision, rendered by a professional regulatory board, the PRC, or the regular courts in case of an appeal.
7. Not have been convicted of a final judgement by a court of competent jurisdiction of any crime involving moral turpitude.

B. Detailed Criteria. The purpose of this award is to bestow honor upon the Young Architects who has:

For Category 1-Outstanding Young Architect in the Professional Practice

Part I. Sufficiently demonstrated professional competence of the highest degree and conducted himself with integrity in the performance of his profession. This is for the focus project submitted by the candidate-Architectural Design (70%).

I.1 FORM (12%)

Is the design appealing and desirable for the intended market?		3
Is the design visually resolved and does it evoke an emotional connection?		3
Does the form support the function and use of the project intuitively?		3
Does it respond to its environment from a visual, contextual and cultural perspective?		3

I.2 FUNCTION (12%)

Does the design perform the function it was originally designed for?		4
Is the design easy to navigate and use?		4
Will the design advance the overall user experience?		4

I.3 SAFETY (10%)

Does the design protect the user from harm?		5
Does the design comply with all applicable standards and regulations?		5

I.4 SUSTAINABILITY (10%)

Has the project followed design for sustainability best practice?		2
Is the project built using materials and processes that have the least possible impact on the environment?		2

Is the project water, material and/or energy efficient in its day-to-day use?		2
Has the product, service, system or project achieved third party certification for its sustainability initiatives?		2
Does the design deliver leading-edge sustainability innovations?		1
Will the design's sustainability initiatives preserve and/or enrich the site's environmental condition?		1

I.5 QUALITY (10%)

Has the project been well constructed and finish?		4
Does the quality of the project correspond with the desired price point and/or investment?		3
Are the chosen materials and construction techniques appropriate?		3

I.6 INNOVATION (10%)

Is the design new and original?		5
Does the design use new materials and technology in a clever way?		3
Does the design have any would first features?		2

I.7 COMMERCIALITY (6%)

Does the project represent good value for money at the intended price point and/or investment?		2
Is the design likely to increase the brand value and/or community standing of the client organization?		2
Is the design likely to result in a significant economic and/or social return of the investment made on design?		2

Part II. Actively participated in UAP activities (chapter and national) and served the organization (25%)

II.1 LEADERSHIP. Has been an officer of the Chapter or has served as a member of the National Board of Directors or has been appointed as a Chairman or a member of UAP Standing and Special Committees. **(10%)**

Served as Chapter Committee Chair/Member for at least one (1) term		1
Served as Chapter Officer for at least one (1) term		1
Served as Chapter Officer for at least two (2) terms		1
Served as Chapter President		2
Served as Chairman of UAP Standing & Special Committee		1
Served as Member of UAP Standing & Special Committee		1
Served as an Executive Director of UAP		1

Served as member of the National Board of Directors		2
---	--	---

II.2 CHAPTER & NATIONAL EVENTS. Attendance to Chapter GMMs and national events such as the National Conventions and Area Assemblies. **(15%)**

Attendance to Chapter GMMs (current fiscal year; 1 point per GMM; max of 8 points)		8
Attendance to National Convention (at least 1 for last 2 fiscal years)		3
Attendance to Area Assembly (at least 1 for last 2 fiscal years)		2
Attendance to NAW, WAD celebration ((at least 1 for last 2 fiscal years)		2

Part III. Significantly contributed to the effective discharge of the profession's socio responsibility through meaningful contribution or participation in socio-civic related activities (5%).

Membership or support in Socio-Civic Organization including its projects (for last 2 years)		2.5
Community and Public Citations (for last 2 years)		2.5

For Category 2-Outstanding Young Architect in the Academe

Part I. Sufficiently demonstrated professional competence of the highest degree and conducted himself with integrity in the performance of his profession. (35%)

I.1 TEACHING PERFORMANCE (20%)

Student's Evaluation Grade from immediate preceding last semester/term (overall average if more than one subject). **Check one only.**

96-100%		20
90-95%		15
85-89%		12
Below 85%		10

I.2 GRADUATE SCHOOL STUDIES/CPD TRAININGS (10%)

Has completed or on-going graduate school studies or has completed Continuing Professional Development or Education Seminars, Trainings and Workshops)

Post-Doctorate (After PhD.) Training		1
Finished Doctorate Degree		3

Master's Degree Holder (M-Arch, MS, M-Eng, MBA)		2.5
CPE Training/Seminar/Workshop under UAP (0.5 point each; max of 1.5 points)		1.5
CPE Training/Seminar/Workshop Accredited other than UAP (0.5 point each; max of 1 point)		1
Non CPE Seminar/Training/Workshop (0.5 point each; max of 1 point)		1

I.3 TECHNICAL COMPETENCE (5%)

TESDA NC Certifications (1 point each; max of 2 points)		2
Software Training Development (1 point each; max of 2 points)		2
Other training programs related to technical competence (0.5 point each; max of 1 point)		1

Part II. Essentially contributed to the advancement of the profession. (35%)

II.1 PROMOTION OF THE PROFESSION (15%)

Has been a resource speaker for architects and/or students, undertaken juror duties for design presentation defense, has contributed articles related with architecture for magazines and newspapers, or has presented researches for symposia, national conventions and international conferences.

As a magazine/newspaper contributor related to architecture (1 point each; max of 2 points)		2
As a research paper presenter to an international conference for the past 2 years (1.5 point each; max of 3 points)		3
As a research paper presenter to a national conference for the past 2 years (1 point each; max of 2 points)		2
As a keynote speaker for an international conference for the past 2 years (1.5 point each; max of 3 points)		3
As a keynote speaker for a national conference for the past 2 years (1 point each; max of 4 points)		4
As a juror for thesis defense		1

II.2 PUBLICATION (15%)

Has produced researches that were published in peer-reviewed international journals or has authored/co-authored books/textbooks/manuals.

Academic journal published in ISI/Thomson Reuters Tier 1 related with Architecture or any of its allied fields (2 points each; max of 4 points)		4
Academic journal published in non ISI/Thomson Reuters Tier 1 related with Architecture or any of its allied fields (1.5 points each; max of 4.5 points)		4.5
Author of a published book/workbook/manual ((1.5 points each; max of 3 points)		3

Co-author of published book/workbook/manual (1 point each; max of 3 points)		2
Research paper published in Conference Proceedings (0.5 point each; max of 1.5 points)		1.5

II. 3 RESEARCH PROJECT (5%)

Has completed or on-going research project sanctioned/funded by the school/CHED/DOST/donor

Completed or on-going research project sanctioned/funded by the school/CHED/DOST/donor (2.5 point each; max of 5 points)		5
--	--	---

Part III. Actively participated in UAP activities (chapter and national) and served the organization (25%)

III.1 LEADERSHIP. Has been an officer of the Chapter or has served as a member of the National Board of Directors or has been appointed as a Chairman or a member of UAP Standing and Special Committees. **(10%)**

Served as Chapter Committee Chair/Member for at least one (1) term		1
Served as Chapter Officer for at least one (1) term		1
Served as Chapter Officer for at least two (2) terms		1
Served as Chapter President		2
Served as Chairman of UAP Standing & Special Committee		1
Served as Member of UAP Standing & Special Committee		1
Served as an Executive Director of UAP		1
Served as member of the National Board of Directors		2

III.2 CHAPTER & NATIONAL EVENTS. Attendance to Chapter GMMs and national events such as the National Conventions and Area Assemblies. **(15%)**

Attendance to Chapter GMMs (current fiscal year; 1 point per GMM; max of 8 points)		8
Attendance to National Convention (at least 1 for last 2 fiscal years)		3
Attendance to Area Assembly (at least 1 for last 2 fiscal years)		2
Attendance to NAW, WAD celebration ((at least 1 for last 2 fiscal years)		2

Part IV. Significantly contributed to the effective discharge of the profession's socio responsibility through meaningful contribution or participation in socio-civic related activities (5%).

Membership or support in Socio-Civic Organization including its projects (for last 2 years)		2.5
Community and Public Citations (for last 2 years)		2.5

C. Procedure for Nomination

1. The UAP Chapter shall address the nominations to the National Chairman of UAP Young Architects Committee, Ar. Patrick N. Rodriguez, uap, who will serve as the Chairman of Screening and Selection Committee. The nominations shall be submitted to its assigned personnel (Evelyn Parayaoan) at the UAP National Headquarters No. 53 Scout Rallos Street, Diliman, Quezon City **on or before FEBRUARY 10, 2017 at 5:00 PM.**
2. One (1) copy of the following documents shall be submitted:
 - a. UAP Nomination Form (see attached)
 - b. Chapter Board Resolution
 - c. Nominee's Profile with the following required information (see attached)
 - c.1 Complete Name of Nominee
 - c.2 Address
 - c.3 Contact Number
 - c.4 PRC Number
 - c.5 UAP Chapter
 - c.6 Membership Number
 - c.7 TIN
 - c.8 Educational Background
 - c.9 Summary of Professional Experience, Activities, Associations and Affiliations, Achievements and Awards received
 - c.10 Previous Services to UAP
 - d. Exhibits (maximum of 15 pages) with the following information:
 - d.1 Images and/or drawings or other materials for the focus project (**design project that has been constructed and turned over to the client of not more than two years from the date of nomination**).
 - d.2 Proof of notarized formal agreement or contract.
 - d.3 Response to the following questions that would form part of the evaluation for the focus project submitted. If the response on the questions would be yes, candidates are required to provide explanations which may be in short write-ups or visual presentations.

Form:

Is the design appealing and desirable for the intended market?

Is the design visually resolved and does it evoke an emotional connection?

Does the form support the function and use of the project intuitively?
Does it respond to its environment from a visual, contextual and cultural perspective?

Function:

Does the design perform the function it was originally designed for?
Is the design easy to navigate and use?
Will the design advance the overall user experience?

Safety:

Does the design protect the user from harm?
Does the design comply with all applicable standards and regulations?

Sustainability:

Has the project followed design for sustainability best practice?
Is the project built using materials and processes that have the least possible impact on the environment?
Is the project water, material and/or energy efficient in its day-to-day use?
Has the product, service, system or project achieved third party certification for its sustainability initiatives?
Does the design deliver leading-edge sustainability innovations?
Will the design's sustainability initiatives result in a substantial impact?

Quality:

Has the project been well constructed and finish?
Does the quality of the project correspond with the desired price point and/or investment?
Are the chosen materials and construction techniques appropriate?

Innovation:

Is the design new and original?
Does the design use new materials and technology in a clever way?
Does the design have any would first features?

Commerciability:

Does the project represent good value for money at the intended price point and/or investment?
Is the design likely to increase the brand value and/or community standing of the client organization?
Is the design likely to result in a significant economic and/or social return of the investment made on design?

d.4 To use A4 size paper, colored photos in high resolution and all the descriptions to be in Arial font, size 12.

d.5 A Declaration of Responsibility should be attached per project. (See attached).

e. Photocopy of the nominee's PRC Identification Card

- f. One-page letters of support from clients (at least 3) that are explicit in their recommendation and contain specific reasons supporting the candidate's nomination (the one page letter is to be written on company letterhead and signed with either an original or electronic signature).
3. Nominations that do not comply with these requirements and procedures will **NOT** be considered for initial screening.
 4. The deadline for submission of the nominees set by the Committee shall be FINAL and NOT subject for any extension.

D. Selection of Awardees

1. Composition of the Screening & Selection Committee for Young Architects Award 2017:
 - a. UAP Committee on Young Architects
 - b. UAP National President
 - c. Architects with Specialization in Design (for selection by UAP National; maximum of 3)
 - d. Architects in the Academe (for selection by UAP National; maximum of 3)
 - e. A member of UAP Committee on Awards
2. The Screening & Selection Committee shall review and evaluate the applications and the awarding ceremonies will be done in the UAP Annual National Convention.
3. The winner/s for each category shall receive the following:
 - a. Awardees shall be featured in Archikonst Magazine
 - b. Cash prize of Php 5,000.00 each
 - c. Special Plaque of Recognition

E. Pertinent Timeline

Project Launching	-	Dec 12, 2016
Submission of Nomination	-	Feb 24, 2017
Review and Evaluation of Nominations	-	March 1- March 31, 2017
Awarding Ceremonies	-	April 2017 (NATCON)

RATING SHEET FOR YOUNG ARCHITECTS-ACADEME
UAP YOUNG ARCHITECTS AWARD 2017

NAME OF CANDIDATE: _____ CHAPTER: _____

INSTRUCTIONS: Shown below are the specific set of criteria as bases for scoring the candidate. Kindly shade the box provided after each sub-criterion IF APPLICABLE. Each sub-criterion has a corresponding grade weight. After shading the boxes, sum all the shaded boxes to get the total score.

Part I. Sufficiently demonstrated professional competence of the highest degree and conducted himself with integrity in the performance of his profession. (35%)

I.1 TEACHING PERFORMANCE (20%)

Student's Evaluation Grade from immediate preceding last semester/term (overall average if more than one subject). **Check one only.**

96-100%		20
90-95%		15
85-89%		12
Below 85%		10

I.2 GRADUATE SCHOOL STUDIES/CPD TRAININGS (10%)

Has completed or on-going graduate school studies or has completed Continuing Professional Development or Education Seminars, Trainings and Workshops)

Post-Doctorate (After PhD.) Training		1
Finished Doctorate Degree		3
Master's Degree Holder (M-Arch, MS, M-Eng, MBA)		2.5
CPE Training/Seminar/Workshop under UAP (0.5 point each; max of 1.5 points)		1.5
CPE Training/Seminar/Workshop Accredited other than UAP (0.5 point each; max of 1 point)		1
Non CPE Seminar/Training/Workshop (0.5 point each; max of 1 point)		1

I.3 TECHNICAL COMPETENCE (5%)

TESDA NC Certifications (1 point each; max of 2 points)		2
Software Training Development (1 point each; max of 2 points)		2
Other training programs related to technical competence (0.5 point each; max of 1 point)		1

Part II. Essentially contributed to the advancement of the profession. (35%)

II.1 PROMOTION OF THE PROFESSION (15%)

Has been a resource speaker for architects and/or students, undertaken juror duties for design presentation defense, has contributed articles related with architecture for magazines and newspapers, or has presented researches for symposia, national conventions and international conferences.

As a magazine/newspaper contributor related to architecture (1 point each; max of 2 points)		2
As a research paper presenter to an international conference for the past 2 years (1.5 point each; max of 3 points)		3
As a research paper presenter to a national conference for the past 2 years (1 point each; max of 2 points)		2
As a keynote speaker for an international conference for the past 2 years (1.5 point each; max of 3 points)		3
As a keynote speaker for a national conference for the past 2 years (1 point each; max of 4 points)		4
As a juror for thesis defense		1

II.2 PUBLICATION (15%)

Has produced researches that were published in peer-reviewed international journals or has authored/co-authored books/textbooks/manuals.

Academic journal published in ISI/Thomson Reuters Tier 1 related with Architecture or any of its allied fields (2 points each; max of 4 points)		4
Academic journal published in non ISI/Thomson Reuters Tier 1 related with Architecture or any of its allied fields (1.5 points each; max of 4.5 points)		4.5
Author of a published book/workbook/manual ((1.5 points each; max of 3 points)		3
Co-author of published book/workbook/manual (1 point each; max of 3 points)		2
Research paper published in Conference Proceedings (0.5 point each; max of 1.5 points)		1.5

II. 3 RESEARCH PROJECT (5%)

Has completed or on-going research project sanctioned/funded by the school/CHED/DOST/donor

Completed or on-going research project sanctioned/funded by the school/CHED/DOST/donor (2.5 point each; max of 5 points)		5
--	--	---

Part II. Actively participated in UAP activities (chapter and national) and served the organization (25%)

II.1 LEADERSHIP. Has been an officer of the Chapter or has served as a member of the National Board of Directors or has been appointed as a Chairman or a member of UAP Standing and Special Committees. **(10%)**

Served as Chapter Committee Chair/Member for at least one (1) term		1
Served as Chapter Officer for at least one (1) term		1
Served as Chapter Officer for at least two (2) terms		1
Served as Chapter President		2
Served as Chairman of UAP Standing & Special Committee		1
Served as Member of UAP Standing & Special Committee		1
Served as an Executive Director of UAP		1
Served as member of the National Board of Directors		2

II.2 CHAPTER & NATIONAL EVENTS. Attendance to Chapter GMMs and national events such as the National Conventions and Area Assemblies. **(15%)**

Attendance to Chapter GMMs (current fiscal year; 1 point per GMM; max of 8 points)		8
Attendance to National Convention (at least 1 for last 2 fiscal years)		3
Attendance to Area Assembly (at least 1 for last 2 fiscal years)		2
Attendance to NAW, WAD celebration ((at least 1 for last 2 fiscal years)		2

Part III. Significantly contributed to the effective discharge of the profession’s socio responsibility through meaningful contribution or participation in socio-civic related activities (5%).

Membership or support in Socio-Civic Organization including its projects (for last 2 years)		2.5
Community and Public Citations (for last 2 years)		2.5

RATING SHEET FOR YOUNG ARCHITECTS-PROFESSIONAL PRACTICE
UAP YOUNG ARCHITECTS AWARD 2017

NAME OF CANDIDATE: _____ CHAPTER: _____

Categories (Select one):

- Residential
- Commercial
- Heritage/Conservation
- Liturgical

INSTRUCTIONS: Shown below is the specific set of criteria as bases for scoring the candidate. Kindly shade the box provided after each sub-criterion IF APPLICABLE. Each sub-criterion has a corresponding grade weight. After shading the boxes, sum all the shaded boxes to get the total score.

Part I. Sufficiently demonstrated professional competence of the highest degree and conducted himself with integrity in the performance of his profession. This is for the focus project submitted by the candidate-Architectural Design (70%).

I.1 FORM (12%)

Is the design appealing and desirable for the intended market?		3
Is the design visually resolved and does it evoke an emotional connection?		3
Does the form support the function and use of the project intuitively?		3
Does it respond to its environment from a visual, contextual and cultural perspective?		3

I.2 FUNCTION (12%)

Does the design perform the function it was originally designed for?		4
Is the design easy to navigate and use?		4
Will the design advance the overall user experience?		4

I.3 SAFETY (10%)

Does the design protect the user from harm?		5
Does the design comply with all applicable standards and regulations?		5

I.4 SUSTAINABILITY (10%)

Has the project followed design for sustainability best practice?		2
Is the project built using materials and processes that have the least possible impact on the environment?		2
Is the project water, material and/or energy efficient in its day-to-day use?		2
Has the product, service, system or project achieved third party certification for its sustainability initiatives?		2
Does the design deliver leading-edge sustainability innovations?		1
Will the design's sustainability initiatives preserve and/or enrich the site's environmental condition?		1

I.5 QUALITY (10%)

Has the project been well constructed and finish?		4
Does the quality of the project correspond with the desired price point and/or investment?		3
Are the chosen materials and construction techniques appropriate?		3

I.6 INNOVATION (10%)

Is the design new and original?		5
Does the design use new materials and technology in a clever way?		3
Does the design have any would first features?		2

I.7 COMMERCIALITY (6%)

Does the project represent good value for money at the intended price point and/or investment?		2
Is the design likely to increase the brand value and/or community standing of the client organization?		2
Is the design likely to result in a significant economic and/or social return of the investment made on design?		2

Part II. Actively participated in UAP activities (chapter and national) and served the organization (25%)

II.1 LEADERSHIP. Has been an officer of the Chapter or has served as a member of the National Board of Directors or has been appointed as a Chairman or a member of UAP Standing and Special Committees. **(10%)**

Served as Chapter Committee Chair/Member for at least one (1) term		1
Served as Chapter Officer for at least one (1) term		1

Served as Chapter Officer for at least two (2) terms		1
Served as Chapter President		2
Served as Chairman of UAP Standing & Special Committee		1
Served as Member of UAP Standing & Special Committee		1
Served as an Executive Director of UAP		1
Served as member of the National Board of Directors		2

II.2 CHAPTER & NATIONAL EVENTS. Attendance to Chapter GMMs and national events such as the National Conventions and Area Assemblies. **(15%)**

Attendance to Chapter GMMs (current fiscal year; 1 point per GMM; max of 8 points)		8
Attendance to National Convention (at least 1 for last 2 fiscal years)		3
Attendance to Area Assembly (at least 1 for last 2 fiscal years)		2
Attendance to NAW, WAD celebration ((at least 1 for last 2 fiscal years)		2

Part III. Significantly contributed to the effective discharge of the profession's socio responsibility through meaningful contribution or participation in socio-civic related activities (5%).

Membership or support in Socio-Civic Organization including its projects (for last 2 years)		2.5
Community and Public Citations (for last 2 years)		2.5



UNITED ARCHITECTS OF THE PHILIPPINES
THE INTEGRATED AND ACCREDITED PROFESSIONAL ORGANIZATION OF ARCHITECTS

NOMINEE'S PROFILE | YOUNG ARCHITECTS AWARDS 2017

ID PICTURE
(colored 2" x 2"
with white
background
resolution dpi 300)

<u>PERSONAL DETAILS</u>		
Name of Nominee	LAST NAME	
	FIRST NAME	
	MIDDLE NAME	
Profession		
Residence Address		
Mobile No.		
Tel. No.		
Email Address		
Website		

<u>EDUCATIONAL QUALIFICATIONS</u>		
Academic Degrees	University or College	Year Graduated
Post Baccalaureate		
Baccalaureate		

LICENSURE AND REGISTRATION

PRC Registration No.		Registration Date
PRC Identification Card Validity		
UAP Registration No.		Date Registered in UAP
IAPOA No.		Chapter

PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE

ARCHITECTURAL PRACTICE

1. Private Practice

Name of Architectural Firm	
Date Established	
Date Registered w/ SEC or DTI	

2. Employment

Company		Position/Year	
Company		Position/Year	
Company		Position/Year	
Company		Position/Year	

ARCHITECTURAL EDUCATION

1. Employment

Inclusive Dates	School	Position or Title

2. Research Project

Project	Institution	Reference	Year/Duration

PUBLIC SERVICE

Position/s held in Government	Inclusive Dates	Government Agency

SERVICE TO UAP

Position / Designation and Office	Inclusive Dates

RECORD OF CONTINUING PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT**A. As Participant** (List of seminars/conventions/conferences attended. Please list in reverse date order, starting with the most recent period first)

No.	Convention/Conference	Seminar Topic	Date & Venue	Main Speaker/s

B. As Resource Speaker, Paper Presenter

(List of lectures delivered or paper presented or list of seminar, lecture or paper presentation in which applicant has performed this role. Please list in reverse date order, starting with the most recent period first)

No.	Title of Lecture or Paper	Forum where Delivered or Presented	Date & Venue	Proponent & Type of Attendees

CIVIC AND SOCIAL APPLICATIONS

(List of seminars/conventions/conferences attended. Please list in reverse date order, starting with the most recent period first)

No.	Convention/Conference	Title	Date & Venue

CIVIC AND SOCIAL APPLICATIONS

Nominees shall complete the following tables to document their service to other professional organizations. Please list in reverse date order, starting with the most recent period first

No.	Member	Institution or Organization	Inclusive Dates

AWARDS, HONORS, AND RECOGNITIONS ETC.

No.	Institution or Organization	Type of Awards, Honors, Recognition	Date



UNITED ARCHITECTS OF THE PHILIPPINES
THE INTEGRATED AND ACCREDITED PROFESSIONAL ORGANIZATION OF ARCHITECTS

Committee on Young Architects

YOUNG ARCHITECTS AWARD 2017

DECLARATION OF RESPONSIBILITY

(For each exhibit, someone in possession of full knowledge of the specific project, other than the nominee, must certify the nominee's responsibility.)

I have personal knowledge of the nominee's responsibility for the project listed above. That responsibility included:

- Largely responsible for design
- Project under direction of nominee
- Nominee's firm executed project
- Other: Explain

Signature:

Name:

Relationship of the person signing to the project:

Contact Number: